

ulm university universität

Correlation Functions and Matrix Permanents

Vincenzo Tamma

in collaboration with Florian Nägele

Institute of Quantum Physics University of Ulm

Bari Theory Xmas Workshop 2013

Multi-mode quantum interference in an M-order Boson Interferometer



Quantum interference of an exponential number of indistinguishable ways for M single photons to trigger M detectors: exponentially hard to simulate!

Can multi-photon quantum interference lead to Exponential speed-up in computations?

Multi-photon Interference and Permanents

Caianello 1953: Correspondence between the amplitudes of n-boson processes and the permanents of n x n matrixes

 $\operatorname{Per} U = \sum_{\sigma \in S} \prod_{i=1}^n U_{i,\sigma_i}$

E. R. Caianiello. On quantum field theory, 1: explicit solution of Dyson's equation in electrodynamics without use of Feynman graphs. *Nuovo Cimento*, 10:1634–1652, 1953.



Multi-mode quantum S_1 interference in a S_2 generic linear optics \vdots S_s \vdots network \vdots S_M

n_{tot} input photons are distributed in the output modes of the interferometer

Single photons in each of the input and output modes:

$$\operatorname{Per} U = \left. {}^{\otimes M} \langle 1 | \hat{U} | 1 \rangle \right. {}^{\otimes M}$$

 $\sum n_s = n_{tot} = \sum m_o$

U

Amplitude for a generic distribution in the input and output modes:

$$\begin{split} \langle m_1, m_2, \dots, m_M | \hat{U} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M \rangle & \Omega = (1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, \dots, M^{n_M}) \\ = \Big[\prod_s n_s! \Big]^{-1/2} \Big[\prod_o m_o! \Big]^{-1/2} \operatorname{Per} U[\Omega' | \Omega] & \Omega' = (1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, M^{m_M}) \end{split}$$

S. Scheel. Permanents in linear optical networks. quant-ph/0406127, 2004.

Multi-boson Interference and Permanents

Valiant, 1979: Computational complexity of permanents for large values of n: Problem believed to be harder than factoring large integers!

L. G. Valiant. The complexity of computing the permanent. *Theoretical Comput. Sci.*, 8(2):189–201, 1979.

 S_1

 S_2

 S_s

 S_M

U

Boson Sampling Quantum Machine:

Probability for a generic distribution of all the input photons in the output modes of an M-mode linear interferometer believed to be exponentially hard to compute at the increasing of M.



L. Troyansky and N. Tishby. Permanent uncertainty: On the quantum evaluation of the determinant and the permanent of a matrix. In *Proceedings of PhysComp*, 1996.

S. Aaronson and A. Arkhipov, Proceedings of ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, STOC, pp. 333-342 (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 2011).

Nth-order correlation functions and permanents in a multi-mode Photon-Sampling Interferometer



3rd-order correlation function

Optical sources:

- 1. Fock States
- 2. Independent Thermal Sources

Α U В 2 3 C $\begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{C}_{A \to 1} & \mathscr{C}_{B \to 1} & \mathscr{C}_{C \to 1} \\ \\ \mathscr{C}_{A \to 2} & \mathscr{C}_{B \to 2} & \mathscr{C}_{C \to 2} \\ \\ \\ \mathscr{C}_{A \to 3} & \mathscr{C}_{B \to 3} & \mathscr{C}_{C \to 3} \end{pmatrix}$

Unitary evolution of the fields modes from the sources s = A,B,C to the detectors d=1,2,3 in presence of generic linear optics devices

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{1}) &= \mathscr{C}_{A \to 1} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{A}^{(+)}(t_{1}) + \mathscr{C}_{B \to 1} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{B}^{(+)}(t_{1}) + \mathscr{C}_{C \to 1} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{C}^{(+)}(t_{1}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{2}^{(+)}(t_{2}) &= \mathscr{C}_{A \to 2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{A}^{(+)}(t_{2}) + \mathscr{C}_{B \to 2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{B}^{(+)}(t_{2}) + \mathscr{C}_{C \to 2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{C}^{(+)}(t_{2}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{3}^{(+)}(t_{3}) &= \mathscr{C}_{A \to 3} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{A}^{(+)}(t_{3}) + \mathscr{C}_{B \to 3} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{B}^{(+)}(t_{2}) + \mathscr{C}_{C \to 3} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{C}^{(+)}(t_{3}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{3}^{(+)}(t_{3}) &= \mathscr{C}_{A \to 3} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{A}^{(+)}(t_{3}) + \mathscr{C}_{B \to 3} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{B}^{(+)}(t_{2}) + \mathscr{C}_{C \to 3} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{C}^{(+)}(t_{3}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{3}^{(-)}(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{3}, t_{2}, t_{1}) \\ &= \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(-)}(t_{1}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(-)}(t_{2}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(-)}(t_{3}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{3}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{2}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{1}) \right\rangle_{\rho} \end{split}$$

N = 3 single-photon detectors

3rd-order correlation functions: Fock states sources

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Multi-Photon Interference} \\ & t_{d-s \to d} = t_d - t_{s \to d} \\ & \mathcal{L}_{s \to d} = t_d - t_d - t_{s \to d} \\ & \mathcal{L}_{s \to d} = t_d - t_$$

3rd-order correlation functions: Fock states sources

Multi-photon Interference \longrightarrow $|per U_{s,s',s''}|^2$



to the detectors

3rd-order correlation functions: independent thermal sources

3 independent thermal sources $s \in \{A, B, C\}$ with average photon number \overline{n}_s

$$\begin{array}{l}
\underline{G}_{\mathrm{Ther}}^{(3)}\left(\left|t_{d-s\rightarrow d}-t_{d'-s\rightarrow d'}\right|\ll\tau\right)=E_{0}^{6}\left\{\overline{n}_{A}\,\overline{n}_{B}\,\overline{n}_{C}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{ABC}\right|^{2}\right.\\
\left.t_{d-s\rightarrow d}=t_{d}-t_{s\rightarrow d}\right.\\
A \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 2 \\
C \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 3 \\
\end{array}\right)=E_{0}^{6}\left\{\overline{n}_{A}\,\overline{n}_{B}\,\overline{n}_{C}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{ABC}\right|^{2}\\
\left.+\frac{\overline{n}_{A}^{2}}{2!}\,\overline{n}_{B}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{BBA}\right|^{2}+\frac{\overline{n}_{A}^{2}}{2!}\,\overline{n}_{C}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{BBC}\right|^{2}\\
\left.+\frac{\overline{n}_{C}^{2}}{2!}\,\overline{n}_{A}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{CCA}\right|^{2}+\frac{\overline{n}_{C}^{2}}{2!}\,\overline{n}_{B}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{CCB}\right|^{2}\\
\left.+\frac{\overline{n}_{A}^{3}}{3!}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{AAA}\right|^{2}+\frac{\overline{n}_{B}^{3}}{3!}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{BBB}\right|^{2}\\
\left.+\frac{\overline{n}_{C}^{3}}{3!}\left|\operatorname{per}U_{CCC}\right|^{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right\}$$

Nth-order correlation function and permanents



$$G^{(N)}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N, t_N, \dots, t_1) = \sum_{\substack{\text{ord. part.}\\(N_1, \dots, N_M)}} G^{(N)}_{N_1, \dots, N_M}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N, t_N, \dots, t_1)$$

Nth order correlation function given by the incoherent sum of all the terms

$$G_{N_1,...,N_M}^{(N)}(t_1,t_2,...,t_N,t_N,...,t_1) = \operatorname{tr}\left\{\hat{\rho} \left\| \left[\prod_{s=1}^M \frac{1}{N_s!}\right] \operatorname{per} \hat{U}_{N_1,...,N_M} \right\|^2 \right\}$$

defined by how many times N_s each source S_s can contribute to an N-fold detection

"Nth-order correlation Matrix Operators"

For any given set of values N_s , with s=1,2,...,M, defining how many times N_s each source S_s can contribute to an N-fold detection :

$$\hat{U}_{N_1,N_2,...,N_M}(t_1,...,t_N)$$
 $N_1 + N_2 + ... + N_M = N$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{C}_{1\to1} \hat{E}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{1}) & \mathscr{C}_{2\to1} \hat{E}_{2}^{(+)}(t_{1}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{s\to1} \hat{E}_{i}^{(+)}(t_{1}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{M\to1} \hat{E}_{M}^{(+)}(t_{1}) \\ \\ \mathscr{C}_{1\to2} \hat{E}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{2}) & \mathscr{C}_{2\to2} \hat{E}_{2}^{(+)}(t_{2}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{s\to2} \hat{E}_{i}^{(+)}(t_{2}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{M\to2} \hat{E}_{M}^{(+)}(t_{2}) \\ \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \\ \mathscr{C}_{1\tod} \hat{E}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{d}) & \mathscr{C}_{2\tod} \hat{E}_{2}^{(+)}(t_{d}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{s\tod} \hat{E}_{i}^{(+)}(t_{d}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{M\tod} \hat{E}_{M}^{(+)}(t_{d}) \\ \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \\ \mathscr{C}_{1\toN} \hat{E}_{1}^{(+)}(t_{N}) & \mathscr{C}_{2\toN} \hat{E}_{2}^{(+)}(t_{N}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{s\toN} \hat{E}_{s}^{(+)}(t_{N}) & \dots & \mathscr{C}_{M\toN} \hat{E}_{M}^{(+)}(t_{N}) \\ \\ \hline N_{1} \text{ times} & & N_{2} \text{ times} & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \end{array}$$

Multi-photon quantum interference

$$\underline{G}_{\text{Fock}}^{(N)}\left(\left|t_{d-s \to d} - t_{0s}\right| \ll \tau\right) = \tilde{E}_{0}^{2N} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord. part.}\\(N_1, \dots, N_M)}} \left[\prod_s \frac{1}{(N_s!)^2} \Upsilon_{N_s}(n_s)\right] \left|\text{per } U_{N_1, \dots, N_M}\right|^2$$

 M independent thermal sources with a generic average number of photons

Multi-Photon Interference!

$$\underline{G}_{\mathrm{Ther}}^{(N)}\left(\left|t_{d-s\to d} - t_{d'-s'\to d'}\right| \ll \tau\right) = E_0^{2N} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord. part.}\\(N_1,\dots,N_M)}} \left[\prod_s \frac{1}{N_s!} \left(\overline{n}_s\right)^{N_s}\right] \left|\operatorname{per} U_{N_1,\dots,N_M}\right|^2$$

Polarization correlations with independent polarized sources



$$n_{S\to D} = \cos(\theta_S - \theta_D)$$

Polarization correlations with independent polarized sources: example



Outlook

- Connection between Nth-order correlation functions for a generic multi-mode interferometer and Permanents of N X N matrixes:
- 1. Generic Fock states sources
- 2. Statistically independent thermal sources
- Independent polarized sources allow to implement generic polarization correlations measurements

Open questions:

- 1. What permanents really tell us about the complexity of a physical system ?
- Are there any "ad hoc" detection techniques able to select different "permanent" terms in the N-order correlation function for different input states ?

Merry Christmas!!!